

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789.162		02/26/2004	L. Thomas Hayes	IIW 8680.62	8983	
23721	7590	11/03/2004		EXAMINER		
CORRIGAT 5 BRIARCE		OFFICE	SHAW, CLIFFORD C			
APPLETON, WI 54915				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1725		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/789,162		
Office Action Summ	non(HAYES ET AL.	
		xaminer	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this		Clifford C Shaw	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	соттипісацоп арреа	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date or - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the notation of the second of the	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a of this communication. han thirty (30) days, a reply wit maximum statutory period will a iod for reply will, by statute, cau ee months after the mailing dat	a). In no event, however, may hin the statutory minimum of t apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi use the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on .			
2a) This action is FINAL.		tion is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co	· ·		offers prosecution as to the	marite ie
closed in accordance with the	ne practice under Ex p	parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	mento io
Disposition of Claims		, ,		
·	t 4b			
4) Claim(s) 46-62 is/are pendin		6		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		from consideration.		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe				
6) Claim(s) <u>46-62</u> is/are rejecte				
7) Claim(s) is/are object				
8) Claim(s) are subject t	to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 A≀</u>	<i>igust 2004</i> is/are: a)[☑ accepted or b)☐ c	bjected to by the Examiner.	_
Applicant may not request that a				
Replacement drawing sheet(s)				3 1 121 <i>(</i> d)
11) The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Exami	iner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC	7-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			, 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a		ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No				
1. Certified copies of the				
2. Certified copies of the				
			n received in this National S	tage
application from the In			•	
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list of the	ne certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0615. 	-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		o) [_] Other	··	
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 1101

Art Unit: 1725

Detailed Action

1.) The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2.) Claims 46-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,114,657, over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,476,355, and over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,781,095. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are broader than the claims in patents 6,114,657 and 6,476,355 and are therefore obvious thereover. The claims are unpatentable over the claims in patent no. 6,781,095 because: the limitations in application claims 46, 49, 50, and 52 calling for defining a range are considered to be obvious over respective patented claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 because the patented claims would have obviously included some sort of definition of the range set forth therein; application claims 55, 58, and 60 are broader than corresponding patented claims 9, 11, and 13 and are therefore obvious thereover.

Applicant is advised that if he files a terminal disclaimer to overcome this rejection, he must disclaim ALL THREE of the aforementioned patents.

Art Unit: 1725

3.) The patents to Gilliland (4,467,174) and to Kneisley et al. (5,864,117) are cited to

show prior art welding control arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at

telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of

the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

November 1, 2004